Evaluation of IPv6 Auto-Transition Algorithm <draft-palet-v6ops-auto-trans-01>

Jordi Palet (jordi.palet@consulintel.es) Miguel A. Díaz (miguelangel.diaz@consulintel.es)



### **Framework and Objectives**

 This memo evaluates a method called "autotransition" to ensure that any device can obtain IPv6 connectivity at any time and whatever network is attached to, even if such network is connected to Internet only with IPv4

- Or already has IPv6 but with poor performance

- Deal with aspects regarding
  - Evaluation of the possible IPv6 transition mechanisms
  - How to overcome IPv4 network barriers like NAT and Firewalls
  - Definition of an algorithm to choose the best mechanism according to performance criteria



# Motivation (I)

- There are well known methods for IPv6 autoconfiguration
  - Stateless and statefull IPv6 autoconfiguration (RFC2461)
- There are also transition mechanism for getting IPv6 connectivity through IPv4 networks
  - Tunnel-based (6to4, TB, ISATAP, Teredo, ...)
  - Most of them aren't automatic
- There is a contradiction:
  - While IPv6 tries to help the users by means of autoconfiguration, it only can be used if native IPv6 connectivity is available.
- Users and appliances require complete PnP, even when only IPv4 is available, so it is required a method that deals with this problem



## Motivation (II)

- The algorithm is defined to be possibly integrated into the IPv6 stack-set or as a kind of wizard
- Applicable to nodes and middle-boxes (CPEs)
  - Hosts, consumer electronics, appliances, alarms, home-automation devices, …
- Users don't need to know anything about how to get IPv6 connectivity



## Algorithm behavior (I)

- Native IPv6 is preferred, but users could decide to use a transition mechanism if it offers better performance
- The selection criteria is based on connection performance
  - To simplify actual implementation only delay and losses are considered



### **Algorithm behavior (II)**



## **Modularity Approach**

- A possible list of mechanisms to be checked, ordered by preference could be:
  - Native IPv6 Connectivity
  - TS with proto-41
  - TS with UDP
  - ISATAP
  - STEP
  - 6to4
  - Teredo
- But it should be open to others or possibly new mechanisms



### Transition Mechanism to overcome IPv4 network barriers

- NAT boxes, proxies or firewalls do not allow tunnel-based transition mechanisms to work properly
- It is required that the auto-transition mechanisms uses a method that cannot be rejected by the middle box. The following solutions could be considered:
  - Layer II tunnels
  - Layer III tunnels
  - Layer IV tunnels



#### **Network Managed Transition**

- The process used for getting IPv6 connectivity can be improved by using new functionalities provided by the Network
- The new approach is based on PBNs
- The network stores transition mechanism policies
  - Interaction with other policies is allowed: QoS, Security, Routing, etc.
- The transition mechanism would work better, but it must work even if the network support is not present
- The ISP has control over the transition process



### **Next steps**

- To finalize the I-D as a WG item
- To choose an universal solution to overcome barriers like NAT and/or Firewalls (possibly another document ?)
- Look at the reverse situation (IPv6 is available but IPv4 connectivity required): IPv4 over IPv6 tunneling, in an automatic fashion



#### Thanks !

**Questions ?** 

